



1  
2  
3  
4

**Document Number: DSP2032**

**Date: 2012-12-04**

**Version: 1.0.0**

5 **CIM-RS White Paper**

6 **Document Type: White Paper**  
7 **Document Status: DMTF Informational**  
8 **Document Language: en-US**  
9

10 Copyright Notice

11 Copyright © 2010–2012 Distributed Management Task Force, Inc. (DMTF). All rights reserved.

12 DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems  
13 management and interoperability. Members and non-members may reproduce DMTF specifications and  
14 documents, provided that correct attribution is given. As DMTF specifications may be revised from time to  
15 time, the particular version and release date should always be noted.

16 Implementation of certain elements of this standard or proposed standard may be subject to third party  
17 patent rights, including provisional patent rights (herein "patent rights"). DMTF makes no representations  
18 to users of the standard as to the existence of such rights, and is not responsible to recognize, disclose,  
19 or identify any or all such third party patent right, owners or claimants, nor for any incomplete or  
20 inaccurate identification or disclosure of such rights, owners or claimants. DMTF shall have no liability to  
21 any party, in any manner or circumstance, under any legal theory whatsoever, for failure to recognize,  
22 disclose, or identify any such third party patent rights, or for such party's reliance on the standard or  
23 incorporation thereof in its product, protocols or testing procedures. DMTF shall have no liability to any  
24 party implementing such standard, whether such implementation is foreseeable or not, nor to any patent  
25 owner or claimant, and shall have no liability or responsibility for costs or losses incurred if a standard is  
26 withdrawn or modified after publication, and shall be indemnified and held harmless by any party  
27 implementing the standard from any and all claims of infringement by a patent owner for such  
28 implementations.

29 For information about patents held by third-parties which have notified the DMTF that, in their opinion,  
30 such patent may relate to or impact implementations of DMTF standards, visit  
31 <http://www.dmtf.org/about/policies/disclosures.php>.

32

## CONTENTS

33	Abstract .....	4
34	Foreword .....	5
35	Acknowledgments .....	5
36	Document Conventions .....	5
37	Executive Summary .....	6
38	1 Terminology.....	7
39	2 Why build a RESTful interface for CIM .....	9
40	3 Characteristics of a RESTful protocol and CIM-RS .....	10
41	4 Resources in CIM-RS.....	11
42	5 Resource identifiers in CIM-RS.....	13
43	6 Operations in CIM-RS .....	14
44	7 Data representation in CIM-RS .....	14
45	8 When would a site consider implementing CIM-RS.....	15
46	9 Conclusion.....	16
47	ANNEX A Change Log.....	18
48	Bibliography .....	19
49	Documents published by standards development organizations.....	19
50	Other documents.....	19
51		

## 52 Tables

53	Table 1 – CIM-RS resource types and what they represent.....	13
54	Table 2 – CIM-RS protocol payload elements .....	15
55		

56

## Abstract

57 This white paper provides background information for CIM-RS as defined in the DMTF specifications *CIM-*  
58 *RS Protocol* ([DSP0210](#)) and *CIM-RS Payload Representation in JSON* ([DSP0211](#)). This white paper will  
59 provide some explanation behind the decisions made in these specifications and give the reader insight  
60 into when the use of CIM-RS may be appropriate. There is also discussion of some of the considerations  
61 in choosing payload encodings such as JSON or XML.

62 This paper is targeted to potential users of CIM-RS who are considering developing a server-side  
63 interface to a CIM implementation that follows REST principles, or a client that consumes such an  
64 interface.

65

## Foreword

66 The *CIM-RS White Paper* (DSP2032) was prepared by the DMTF CIM-RS Working Group, based on  
67 work of the DMTF CIM-RS Incubator.

68 DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems  
69 management and interoperability. For information about the DMTF, see <http://www.dmtf.org>.

## 70 Acknowledgments

71 The DMTF acknowledges the following individuals for their contributions to this document:

- 72 • Cornelia Davis, EMC
- 73 • George Ericson, EMC
- 74 • Johannes Holzer, IBM
- 75 • Robert Kieninger, IBM
- 76 • Wojtek Kozaczynski, Microsoft
- 77 • Lawrence Lamers, VMware
- 78 • Andreas Maier, IBM (editor)
- 79 • Bob Tillman, EMC
- 80 • Marvin Waschke, CA Technologies (editor)

## 81 Document Conventions

### 82 Typographical Conventions

83 The following typographical conventions are used in this document:

- 84 • Document titles are marked in *italics*.

### 85 Deprecated and Experimental Material

86 A white paper has informative character. Therefore, material is not marked as experimental or deprecated  
87 as it would be in normative DMTF specifications.

88

## Executive Summary

89 The DMTF Common Information Model (CIM) is a conceptual information model for describing computing  
90 and business entities in Internet, enterprise, and service-provider environments. CIM uses object-oriented  
91 techniques to provide a consistent definition of such entities: A CIM model describes the state, relations,  
92 and behaviors of such managed objects. The CIM Schema published by DMTF is one such CIM model,  
93 establishing a common description of certain managed objects.

94 CIM and the CIM Schema provide a foundation for IT management software that can be written in one  
95 environment and easily converted to operate in a different environment. It also facilitates communication  
96 between software managing different aspects of the IT infrastructure. In this way, CIM and CIM Schema  
97 provide a basis for an integrated IT management environment that is more manageable and less complex  
98 than environments based on narrower and less consistent information.

99 CIM is built on object oriented principles and provides a consistent and cohesive programming model for  
100 IT management software. One of the developing trends in enterprise network software architecture in  
101 recent years has been Representational State Transfer (REST). REST represents a set of architectural  
102 constraints that have risen from the experience of the World Wide Web. Developers have discovered that  
103 the architecture of the web offers some of the same benefits in simplicity and reliability to enterprise  
104 software as it has provided over the Internet. IT management is an important application of enterprise  
105 software and there is growing interest in using CIM and CIM Schema based software in an architecture  
106 that follows REST constraints.

107 Fortunately, CIM follows basic architectural principles that largely fit well into RESTful architectures. As a  
108 result, the RESTful protocol defined by CIM-RS is tailored to the needs of CIM.

# 109 1 Terminology

110 In this document, some terms have a specific meaning beyond the normal English meaning. Those terms  
111 are defined in this clause.

112 Some of the terms and abbreviations defined in [DSP0004](#) and [DSP0223](#) are used in this document but  
113 are not repeated in this clause.

## 114 1.1

### 115 application state

116 the state that indicates where an application is in completing a task. In a RESTful system, the client is  
117 solely responsible for application or session state. The server is only responsible for resource state, the  
118 state of the resources managed by the service. An example of resource state is the account balance in a  
119 banking service, which would be maintained by the server. An example of application state is a specific  
120 client that has posted a deposit and is waiting for it to clear. Only the client would track the fact that it has  
121 posted a deposit request.

## 122 1.2

### 123 CIM-RS

#### 124 CIM RESTful Services

125 the RESTful protocol for CIM covered by this white paper and related documents.

## 126 1.3

### 127 HATEOAS

#### 128 Hypertext As The Engine Of Application State

129 the practice of using links embedded in resource representations to advertise further possible activities or  
130 related resources to the application. For example, an “order” link might be placed in the resource  
131 representation for an item offered in a catalog. The presence of the order link indicates that the item is  
132 orderable and represents a path to order the item. In a visual representation, the “order” link would  
133 appear as a button on the screen. Pushing the button, a POST or PUT HTTP method targeting the  
134 resource identifier provided in the link would be issued and would cause the item to be ordered. The  
135 returned resource represents the next application state, perhaps a form for entering quantity and shipping  
136 method. CIM-RS supports this concept by returning resource identifiers to related resources, for details  
137 see [DSP0210](#).

## 138 1.4

### 139 HTTP content negotiation

140 negotiation between HTTP clients and HTTP servers to determine the format of the content transferred.  
141 When a client makes a request, they list acceptable response formats by specifying media types in an  
142 `Accept` header. Thus, the server is able to supply different representations of the same resource  
143 identified with the same resource identifier. A common example is GIF and PNG images. A browser that  
144 cannot display PNGs can be served GIFs based on the `Accept` header. In a RESTful system, the choice  
145 is more often between XML and JSON. For details, see [RFC2616](#). Its use in CIM-RS is described in  
146 [DSP0210](#).

## 147 1.5

### 148 IANA

149 Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, <http://www.iana.org/>.

## 150 1.6

### 151 JSON

152 JavaScript Object Notation, defined in chapter 15 of [ECMA-262](#).

153 **1.7**154 **idempotent HTTP method**

155 an HTTP method with the behavior that (aside from error or expiration issues) the side-effects of N  
156 consecutive identical requests are the same as for a single one of those requests. [RFC2616](#) requires the  
157 HTTP methods GET, HEAD, PUT and DELETE to be idempotent. HTTP methods that have no side  
158 effects (that is, safe methods) are inherently idempotent. For details, see [RFC2616](#).

159 **1.8**160 **Internet media type**

161 a string identification for representation formats in Internet protocols. Originally defined for email  
162 attachments and termed "MIME type". Because CIM-RS is based on HTTP, it uses the definition of media  
163 types from section 3.7 of [RFC2616](#).

164 **1.9**165 **resource**

166 in CIM-RS, an entity that can be referenced using a resource identifier and thus can be the target of an  
167 HTTP method. Example resources are systems, devices, or configurations.

168 **1.10**169 **resource identifier**

170 in CIM-RS, a URI that is a reference to (or an address of) a resource. Generally, a resource may have  
171 more than one resource identifier; however in CIM-RS that is not the case.

172 **1.11**173 **resource representation**

174 a representation of a resource or some aspect thereof, in some format. A particular resource may have  
175 any number of representations. The format of a resource representation is identified by a media type. In  
176 CIM-RS, the more general term "payload representation" is used, because not all protocol payload  
177 elements are resource representations.

178 **1.12**179 **resource state**

180 the state of a resource managed by a RESTful service, in contrast to application state.

181 **1.13**182 **REST**183 **Representational State Transfer**

184 a style of software architecture for distributed systems that is based on addressable resources, a uniform  
185 constrained interface, representation orientation, stateless communication, and state transitions driven  
186 by data formats. Usually REST architectures use the HTTP protocol, although other protocols are  
187 possible. See [Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures](#) for the  
188 original description of the REST architectural style.

189 **1.14**190 **RPC**191 **Remote Procedure Call**

192 an RPC is an implementation of a function in which a call to the function occurs in one process and the  
193 function is executed in a different process, often in a remote location linked by a network. RPC-based  
194 systems are often contrasted with RESTful systems. In a RESTful system, the interactions between client  
195 and server follow the REST constraints and the design focus is on the resources. In an RPC-based  
196 system, the design focus is on the functions invoked, and there is not necessarily even the notion of well-  
197 defined resources.

198 **1.15**

199 **safe HTTP method**

200 an HTTP method that has no side-effects. [RFC2616](#) requires the HTTP methods GET and HEAD to be  
201 safe. By definition, an HTTP method that is safe is also idempotent.

202 **1.16**

203 **URI**

204 Uniform Resource Identifier, as defined in [RFC3986](#). CIM-RS uses URIs for its resource identifiers.

205 **2 Why build a RESTful interface for CIM**

206 There has been a great deal of interest in constructing RESTful enterprise applications in the last few  
207 years and this interest has inspired the specification of CIM-RS. To understand the origins of this interest,  
208 the nature of REST and its relationship to IT management must be explored.

209 Enterprise applications are being built more and more frequently on architectures that involve remote  
210 network connections to some part of the implementation of the application. These connections are often  
211 via the Internet. This is especially true with the rise of cloud computing.

212 REST is a set of architectural constraints that were designed around the features of the Internet. For  
213 example, REST constraints are designed to assure that applications that follow constraints will have  
214 maximum benefit from typical Internet features like caches, proxies, and load balancers.

215 In addition, REST constraints are closely tied to the design of HTTP, the primary application level protocol  
216 of the Internet. In fact, the prime formulator of REST, Roy Fielding, was also an author of the HTTP  
217 standard. Consequently, REST was designed to take full advantage of HTTP and HTTP meets the needs  
218 of REST.

219 Some of the specific benefits that have been experienced in RESTful applications are:

- 220 • **Simplicity.** REST limits itself to the methods implemented in HTTP and runs directly on the  
221 HTTP stack. Note, however, that this simplicity can be deceptive. The design effort to comply  
222 with REST may engender its own complexity.
- 223 • **Resilience in the face of network disturbance.** One of the hallmarks of a RESTful application  
224 is a stateless relationship between the server and the client. Each request from the client  
225 contains all the history the server needs to respond to the client. Therefore recovery when a  
226 server becomes inaccessible does not require unwinding a stack and complex recovery logic  
227 when requests are self-contained and independent.
- 228 • **Upgradability.** The operations available in RESTful application are discovered by the client as  
229 the processes occur. Consequently, in some cases, the server implementation often may be  
230 upgraded transparently to the client. In some cases, a well-designed client may be able to take  
231 advantage of new features automatically.

232 Although these are important benefits, it is important to note that REST is not a panacea. Not all activities  
233 are easily compatible with its constraints. Not every operation fits easily into the stateless paradigm. The  
234 discoverability of RESTful applications may breakdown as applications become more complex and  
235 transactions become more elaborate.

236 Nevertheless, as a result of these benefits and others, a substantial number of developers of IT  
237 management applications that use CIM and CIM Schema have turned to REST. Therefore, there is a  
238 need for a specification for a uniform protocol that will promote interoperability between RESTful CIM and  
239 CIM Schema based applications.

### 240 3 Characteristics of a RESTful protocol and CIM-RS

241 The characteristics of a RESTful protocol are not standardized or otherwise defined normatively. The  
242 principles and constraints of the REST architectural style have originally been described by Roy Fielding  
243 in chapter 5 of [Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures](#). The BLOG  
244 entry [REST APIs must be hypertext driven](#) authored by Roy Fielding provides further insight into REST  
245 principles. While that description of the REST architectural style is not limited to the use of HTTP, the  
246 HTTP protocol comes close to supporting that style and obviously has a very broad use.

247 The CIM-RS protocol is based on HTTP and supports the REST architectural style to a large degree. The  
248 following list describes to what extent the typical REST constraints are satisfied by the CIM-RS protocol:

249 • **Client-Server:** The participants in the CIM-RS protocol are WBEM client, WBEM server, and  
250 WBEM listener. There is a client-server relationship between WBEM client and WBEM server,  
251 and one between WBEM server and WBEM listener, where the WBEM server acts as a client to  
252 the WBEM listener. Thus, the WBEM server has two roles: To act as a server in the interactions  
253 with the WBEM client, and to act as a client in the interactions with the WBEM listener.  
254 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

255 • **Stateless:** Interactions in CIM-RS are self-describing and stateless in that the servers (that is,  
256 the WBEM server in its server role, and the WBEM listener) do not maintain any application  
257 state or session state.  
258 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

259 • **Cache:** The HTTP methods used in CIM-RS are used as defined in RFC2616. As a result, they  
260 are cacheable as defined in RFC2616.  
261 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

262 NOTE: [RFC2616](#) defines only the result of HTTP GET methods to be cacheable.

263 • **Uniform interface:** The main resources represented in CIM-RS are instances or collections  
264 thereof, representing modeled objects in the managed environment. CIM-RS defines a uniform  
265 interface for creating, deleting, retrieving, replacing, and modifying these resources and thus the  
266 represented objects, based on HTTP methods.  
267 This REST constraint is satisfied in CIM-RS, with the following deviation:

268 CIM methods can be invoked in CIM-RS through the use of HTTP POST. This may be  
269 seen as a deviation from the REST architectural style, which suggests that any "method"  
270 be represented as a modification of a resource. However, DMTF experience with a REST  
271 like modeling style has shown that avoiding the use of methods is not always possible or  
272 convenient. For this reason CIM-RS supports invocation of methods..

273 • **Layered system:** Layering is inherent to information models that represent the objects of a  
274 managed environment, because clients only see the modeled representations and are not  
275 exposed to the actual objects. CIM-RS defines the protocol and payload representations such  
276 that it works with any model, and thus is well suited for implementations that implement a model  
277 of the managed environment independently of protocols, and one or more protocols  
278 independently of the model. CIM-RS supports the use of HTTP intermediaries (for example,  
279 caches and proxy servers).  
280 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

281 • **Code-On-Demand:** CIM-RS does not directly support exchanging program code between the  
282 protocol participants.  
283 This optional REST constraint is not satisfied.

284 Beyond that, CIM-RS has the following other characteristics:

285 • **Model independence:** CIM-RS does not define or prescribe the use of a particular CIM model.  
286 However, it does require the use of a CIM model defined using the CIM

- 287 infrastructure/architecture. This allows reusing the traditional DMTF technology stack and its  
288 implementations, with only minimal impact to existing implementations. For details on CIM-RS  
289 resources, see clause 4.
- 290 • **Opaqueness of resource identifiers:** CIM-RS uses URIs as resource identifiers and defines  
291 all but a top-level URI to be opaque to clients. That allows reuse of the URIs supported by  
292 existing WBEM protocols without any remapping, as well as the use of new URI formats in the  
293 future. It encourages a client style of programming that is more RESTful than when clients  
294 parse resource URIs. For details on CIM-RS resource identifiers, see clause 5.
  - 295 • **Consistency of operations:** Beyond following the REST constraints, the CIM-RS operations  
296 are consistent with the generic operations defined in [DSP0223](#). This allows implementing CIM-  
297 RS as an additional protocol in existing WBEM infrastructures, causing impact only where it is  
298 necessary (that is, at the protocol level), leveraging existing investments. For details on CIM-RS  
299 operations, see clause 6.
  - 300 • **Supports use of new RESTful frameworks:** Because CIM-RS is a RESTful protocol, it  
301 supports the use of new RESTful frameworks both on the client side and on the server side,  
302 without tying client application development to the use of traditional WBEM clients or CIM client  
303 APIs, and without tying server instrumentation development to the use of traditional WBEM  
304 servers, such as CIMOMs and providers.

## 305 4 Resources in CIM-RS

306 The REST architectural style allows for the representation of rather static entities such as disk drives, or  
307 entities with highly varying state such as a metric measuring the amount of available disk space at a  
308 specific point in time, or even entities that dynamically come into existence or cease to exist such as file  
309 system mounts.

310 In CIM-RS, there are three basic kinds of resources:

- 311 • **Instance resources** represent modeled objects in the managed environment.
- 312 • **Collection resources** represent ordered collections of instance resources or of references to  
313 instance resources.
- 314 • **Invocation resources** provide the ability to invoke operations that are outside the scope of the  
315 CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations.

316 The way managed objects are defined to be represented as instance resources in CIM-RS, is by using a  
317 two-staged mapping approach:

- 318 • CIM models describe how managed objects in the managed environment are modeled as  
319 classes. This part deals with the model and is independent of any protocols
- 320 • CIM-RS describes how instances of classes are represented as instance resources. This part  
321 deals with the protocol and is independent of any models

322 CIM classes, qualifier types and namespaces are not represented as resources in CIM-RS. If client  
323 applications need to dynamically discover the class definition of modeled objects, they cannot do that  
324 directly with CIM-RS. A future schema inspection model may provide for doing that, based on instance-  
325 level interactions.

326 This model independence allows CIM-RS to be implemented in an existing WBEM server as an additional  
327 protocol, or as a gateway in front of an existing unchanged WBEM server, leveraging the investment in  
328 that implementation. Specifically, in WBEM servers supporting a separation of CIMOM and providers,  
329 adding support for CIM-RS typically drives change only to the CIMOM but does not drive any change to  
330 the providers. On the client side, existing WBEM client infrastructures that provide client applications with

331 a reasonably abstracted API can implement CIM-RS as an additional protocol, shielding existing client  
332 applications from the new protocol.

333 In order to work well with WBEM, it was necessary that CIM-RS supports the same operation semantics  
334 as the operations supported at client APIs, provider APIs and existing WBEM protocols. The generic  
335 operations defined in [DSP0223](#) are a common definition of operation semantics for such purposes. The  
336 operations of CIM-RS are described independently of [DSP0223](#), but [DSP0210](#) defines a mapping  
337 between generic operations and CIM-RS operations. For more details about the operations supported by  
338 CIM-RS, see clause 6.

339 Because CIM-RS is a RESTful protocol, it supports the use of new RESTful frameworks both on the client  
340 side and on the server side, without tying client application development to the use of traditional WBEM  
341 clients or CIM client APIs, and without tying server instrumentation development to the use of traditional  
342 WBEM servers, such as CIMOMs and providers.

343 This allows CIM-RS to be implemented using typical REST frameworks, without using CIMOM or WBEM  
344 infrastructure. In this case, the two-staged mapping approach still works but requires to read more  
345 documents in order to understand what to implement, compared to an approach that describes both  
346 model and protocol in one document.

347 Of course, combinations of using new RESTful frameworks and traditional WBEM infrastructure are also  
348 possible: A typical scenario would be the use of a new RESTful framework in a client application, with a  
349 traditional WBEM server whose CIMOM portion got extended with CIM-RS protocol support.

350 It is key to understand that the model independence of CIM-RS and the resulting benefits are its main  
351 motivation and are a key differentiator to other approaches in DMTF of using REST. The model  
352 independence is what positions CIM-RS to be a first class member of the traditional DMTF technology  
353 stack, leveraging a large amount of standards defined by DMTF and others (most notably, the CIM  
354 architecture/infrastructure, the CIM Schema, and management profiles defined by DMTF and others).

355 On the downside, the model independence of CIM-RS causes a certain indirection in dealing with the  
356 managed objects: CIM-RS resources representing CIM instances of CIM classes can be understood only  
357 after understanding the CIM model they implement. The CIM model is defined by a CIM schema and  
358 typically in addition by a number of management profiles that scope and refine the use of the CIM  
359 schema to a particular management domain. So the number of documents to read before a client  
360 application can reasonably be developed against a CIM instrumentation supporting CIM-RS may be quite  
361 significant. On the other hand, this is no more complex than developing a client application against a CIM  
362 instrumentation supporting other existing WBEM protocols.

363 Following the REST architectural style, any entity targeted by an operation in the CIM-RS protocol is  
364 considered a resource, and the operations are simple operations such as the HTTP methods GET,  
365 POST, PUT, and DELETE.

366 The simplicity of these operations requires to "encode" details such as the difference between retrieving a  
367 single resource vs. a collection of resources, or retrieving a resource vs. navigating to a related resource,  
368 into the resource definitions. This leads to a number of variations of resources.

369 Note that the real-world entities are not called "resources" in this document. Rather, the standard DMTF  
370 terminology is used, where such real-world entities are called "managed objects", and the real-world itself  
371 is called the "managed environment". This terminology allows distinguishing resources as represented in  
372 the RESTful protocol from the managed objects they sometimes correspond to, in part or in whole.

373 CIM-RS defines the following resources, as listed in Table 1.

374

**Table 1 – CIM-RS resource types and what they represent**

Resource Type	Represents
Instance resource	A resource within a server that represents a modeled object in the managed environment
Instance creation resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to create instance resources (and thus, managed objects)
Instance collection resource	A resource within a server or listener that represents a collection of instance resources
Reference collection resource	A resource within a server or listener that represents a collection of references (to instance resources)
Instance enumeration resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to enumerate instance resources by class and namespace
Method invocation resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to invoke methods defined in a class
Listener destination resource	A resource within a listener that can be used to deliver indications
Server entry point resource	The entry point resource of a server; representing capabilities of the server, and providing the starting point for discovering further resources
Listener entry point resource	The entry point resource of a listener, representing capabilities of the listener

375 Each of these resources can be addressed using a resource identifier; for details on that see clause 5.

376 Each of these resources has a defined set of operations; for details on that see clause 6.

377 Each of these resources has a defined resource representation in each of the supported representation  
 378 formats; for details on that see clause 7.

379 CIM-RS supports retrieval of parts of resources. These parts are selected through query parameters in  
 380 the resource identifier URI addressing the resource. That renders these parts to be separate resources,  
 381 following the principles in the REST architectural style.

382 For more details on CIM-RS resources, see [DSP0210](#).

## 383 **5 Resource identifiers in CIM-RS**

384 The REST architectural style recommends that all addressing information for a resource is in the resource  
 385 identifier (and not, for example, in the HTTP header). In addition, it recommends that resource identifiers  
 386 are opaque to clients and clients should not be required to understand the structure (or format) of  
 387 resource identifiers or be required to assemble any resource identifiers.

388 CIM-RS generally follows these recommendations. In CIM-RS, resource identifiers are fully represented  
 389 in URIs, without any need for additional information in HTTP headers or HTTP payload. However, these  
 390 recommendations do not detail whether client-driven assembly and modification of the query parameter  
 391 portion of a URI is also discouraged. In CIM-RS, the query parameter portion of a URI is normatively  
 392 defined and may be assembled or manipulated by clients.

393 The only URI a client needs to know upfront in CIM-RS is the resource identifier of the server entry point  
 394 resource of a WBEM server. That is the only URI for which CIM-RS normatively defines a format.

395 From that starting point on, any other URIs are server-defined and opaque to clients (except for query  
 396 parameters). They are discovered by clients by means of links returned along with resource  
 397 representations. CIM-RS does not define the format of these URIs (except for the entry point resources of  
 398 server and listener).

399 The main benefit of client-opaque URIs is that servers can use existing URI formats, even in a mix of  
400 different kinds of URI formats, directly as the CIM-RS URIs. This typically saves both performance and  
401 space, and it allows to be open for future URI formats.

402 For more details on resource identifiers in CIM-RS, see [DSP0210](#).

## 403 **6 Operations in CIM-RS**

404 The REST architectural style recommends that the operations on resources are simple and follow certain  
405 constraints. Although the use of HTTP is not a requirement for REST, the HTTP methods satisfy these  
406 constraints and are therefore a good choice for a RESTful system.

407 CIM-RS uses the HTTP methods GET, POST, PUT, and DELETE. An operation in CIM-RS is defined as  
408 the combination of HTTP method and target resource type (as described in Table 1).

409 GET is used to retrieve the targeted instance resource or collection resources.

410 PUT is used for replacing the targeted instance resource partially or fully. Partial update is performed by  
411 issuing the PUT method against a resource identifier that uses query parameters to narrow the original  
412 resource to exactly the properties that are intended to be updated. Because the narrowed resource is fully  
413 replaced, this approach does not violate the idempotency constraint of the HTTP PUT method.

414 The alternative to use the HTTP PATCH method for partial update (see RFC5789) was originally chosen  
415 in the work of the CIM-RS Incubator but ultimately dismissed in the CIM-RS specifications, because  
416 support for the HTTP PATCH method is still limited in the industry at this point.

417 DELETE is used for removing the targeted instance resource.

418 POST is a non-idempotent operation in HTTP that can have many uses. The Request-URI in the header  
419 of a POST identifies the resource which will handle the entity enclosed in the message of the request, not  
420 necessarily the entity affected by the POST (see [RFC2616](#), page 54). Following this pattern, POST is  
421 used in CIM-RS as follows:

- 422 • for invoking CIM methods, by targeting a method invocation resource.  
423 Non-static methods can be invoked by targeting the method invocation resource for a particular  
424 method; their resource identifiers are available on instance resources.  
425 Static methods can be invoked by targeting the global method invocation resource for a  
426 particular static method; their resource identifiers are available on the server entry point  
427 resource.
- 428 • for creating instance resources, by targeting a global instance creation resource.  
429 Its resource identifier is available on the server entry point resource.
- 430 • for enumerating instance resources by class, by targeting a global instance enumeration  
431 resource.  
432 Its resource identifier is available on the server entry point resource.

433 In addition, a server can deliver indications (event notifications) to a listener using POST. For details on  
434 indication delivery, see [DSP0210](#).

435 For more details on operations in CIM-RS, see [DSP0210](#).

## 436 **7 Data representation in CIM-RS**

437 The REST architectural style promotes late binding between the abstracted resource that is addressed  
438 through a resource identifier and the resource representation that is chosen in the interaction between  
439 client and server.

440 CIM-RS follows this by supporting multiple HTTP payload formats that are chosen through HTTP content  
 441 negotiation.

442 The set of payload formats supported by CIM-RS is open for future extension, and currently consists of  
 443 the following:

- 444 • JSON, as defined in [DSP0211](#).

445 A payload format based on XML is envisioned for the future.

446 JSON and XML have been chosen because each of them is considered a premier choice for a  
 447 representation format of RESTful systems, dependent on the REST framework used, and the technical  
 448 and business environment.

449 It is important to understand that the entities to be represented in the HTTP payload are not only the  
 450 resource representations. For example, operations such as method invocation require the representation  
 451 of input and output data entities that are not resources (in the sense that they cannot be the target of  
 452 CIM-RS operations).

453 Table 2 lists the protocol payload elements defined in CIM-RS. These are the entities that need to be  
 454 represented in any payload format of CIM-RS.

455 **Table 2 – CIM-RS protocol payload elements**

Protocol payload element	Meaning
Instance	representation of an instance; that is, a modeled object in the managed environment
ReferenceCollection	representation of a set of references (to instances) in a reference collection
InstanceCollection	representation of a set of instances in an instance collection
MethodRequest	the data used to request the invocation of a method
MethodResponse	the data used in the response of the invocation of a method
IndicationDeliveryRequest	the data used to request the delivery of an indication to a listener
ServerEntryPoint	representation of the server entry point resource of a server, describing protocol-level capabilities of the server, and providing resource identifiers for performing certain operations
ListenerEntryPoint	representation of the listener entry point resource of a listener, describing protocol-level capabilities of the listener
ErrorResponse	the data used in an error response to any request

456

## 457 **8 When would a site consider implementing CIM-RS**

458 CIM-RS is implemented in two places: a centralized server and many clients (including event listeners).  
 459 The server provides access to CIM-RS resources and the client accesses those resources. One of the  
 460 goals of REST is enabling clients, such as generic HTTP browsers, to discover and access RESTful  
 461 services without specialized documentation or programming. CIM-RS enables this kind of access, but  
 462 realistically, such usage would be too granular and awkward for most tasks. More likely, CIM-RS will be  
 463 used in the background as a web service that performs operations and collects data on IT infrastructure.  
 464 The code that combines individual REST requests into task-oriented applications can be implemented  
 465 either on the server side or on the client side.

466 On the server side, SOAP implementations respond to SOAP calls that are usually transported by HTTP  
467 as a layer under the SOAP stack. The RESTful stack is less elaborate because the layer corresponding  
468 to the SOAP is eliminated and calls are received directly from the HTTP server. Correspondingly, on the  
469 client, in SOAP implementation, calls are made via the SOAP stack and transported by HTTP. In REST,  
470 calls are made using native HTTP verbs. REST simplicity comes with a price. The SOAP stack, and the  
471 additional specifications that have been written over SOAP add rich functionality that may require extra  
472 effort to implement the equivalent in REST.

473 With the addition of CIM-RS, applications based on objects defined using CIM models can be surfaced  
474 via the CIM-RS RESTful protocol. The choice of protocol affects both the server implementation and the  
475 client implementation. In theory, the applications that result should be the same, but in practice there may  
476 be differences, based on factors such as the statelessness of RESTful and the ease of implementing  
477 some interaction patterns.

478 Many implementations are expected to involve using CIM-RS with existing implementations. The ease of  
479 these implementations will be largely dependent on the layering of the architecture of the CIM  
480 implementation. Ideally, the implementation of the CIM objects should be crisply separated from the  
481 transport mechanism. In that case, the CIM-RS implementation, using appropriate frameworks for  
482 interfacing underlying code with HTTP such as JAX-RS, should be straight forward and relatively quick to  
483 implement.

484 Every implementation decision is based on many factors, including:

- 485 • The experiences of the personnel involved. A group accustomed to RESTful applications will  
486 be better prepared to work with CIM-RS than a SOAP-based implementation. A group not  
487 familiar with REST may experience difficulty.
- 488 • The environment. For example, implementation behind a corporate firewall will not get as many  
489 advantages from a REST implementation as an implementation that spans widely separated  
490 architectures involving many firewalls.
- 491 • The purpose of the implementation. Some implementations will involve management of massive  
492 storms of events. Others will involve long lists of managed objects. Yet others will involve only  
493 light traffic, but complex control operations. Every implementation has its own footprint. REST  
494 architectures are designed to optimize the capacity, scalability, and upgradability of the server.  
495 The archetypical REST implementation is a server that serves an enormous number of clients,  
496 for example, a web storefront serving hundreds of thousands of clients simultaneously, but the  
497 data exchange with each client is intermittent, granular, and relatively small. This is far different  
498 from an enterprise IT management application that manages and correlates data from hundreds  
499 of thousands of objects, but only has a handful of clients. RESTful interfaces have proven  
500 themselves in the first example, but they have not yet acquired a long track record in the second  
501 example. This is not to say that REST, and CIM-RS in particular, is not appropriate for the  
502 second example, only that it may present new challenges.

503 CIM-RS provides an alternative to SOAP based implementations and allows implementers to take  
504 advantages of the unique characteristics of REST. The decision to use CIM-RS should be made in the full  
505 context of the experience of the implementers, the environment and purpose of the implementation.

## 506 **9 Conclusion**

507 CIM-RS is a set of specifications that describe a rigorous REST interface to resources modeled following  
508 the principles of the CIM metamodel. The immediate and obvious consequence of this goal is to provide  
509 REST access to management instrumentation based on the more than 1400 pre-existing classes in the  
510 DMTF CIM Schema and in management profiles.

511 This addresses an important issue in the industry: RESTful interfaces have become an interface of choice  
512 for application interaction over the Internet. With rising interest in cloud computing, which largely depends

513 on Internet communications, the importance of REST interfaces is also rising. Consequently, a protocol  
514 that promises to give existing applications a RESTful interface with minimal investment is extremely  
515 attractive.

516 CIM-RS provides more than an additional interface to existing CIM-based implementations. The CIM  
517 metamodel is a general object oriented modeling approach and can be applied to many modeling  
518 challenges. Thus, for any applications built using models that conform to the CIM metamodel, CIM-RS  
519 specifies a standards-based RESTful interface that will increase interoperability. Developers can use the  
520 CIM-RS specifications as the basis for a design pattern and avoid reinventing a RESTful API for each  
521 application, saving time and effort and minimizing testing,

522 CIM-RS has the potential to become a basic pattern for application communication within the enterprise,  
523 between enterprises, and within the cloud. It applies to existing implementations of CIM objects, future  
524 CIM object implementations, and implementations of new objects modeled following the CIM metamodel.

525

**ANNEX A**

526

527

**Change Log**

528

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.0.0a	2012-08-28	Published as a Work in Progress
1.0.0	2012-12-04	Published as DMTF Informational

529

## Bibliography

### 530 Documents published by standards development organizations

- 531 DMTF DSP0004, *CIM Infrastructure Specification 2.7*,  
532 [http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published\\_documents/DSP0004\\_2.7.pdf](http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0004_2.7.pdf)
- 533 DMTF DSP0223, *Generic Operations 1.0*,  
534 [http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published\\_documents/DSP0223\\_1.0.pdf](http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0223_1.0.pdf)
- 535 DMTF DSP0210, *CIM-RS Protocol 1.0*,  
536 [http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published\\_documents/DSP0210\\_1.0.pdf](http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0210_1.0.pdf)
- 537 DMTF DSP0211, *CIM-RS Payload Representation in JSON 1.0*,  
538 [http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published\\_documents/DSP0211\\_1.0.pdf](http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0211_1.0.pdf)
- 539 ECMA-262, *ECMAScript Language Specification, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition*, December 2009,  
540 <http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/standards/Ecma-262.htm>
- 541 IETF RFC2616, *Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1*, June 1999,  
542 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2616>
- 543 IETF RFC3986, *Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*, January 2005,  
544 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986>
- 545 IETF RFC5789, *PATCH Method for HTTP*, March 2010,  
546 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5789>
- 547 ISO/IEC 10646:2003, *Information technology -- Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS)*,  
548 [http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c039921\\_ISO\\_IEC\\_10646\\_2003\(E\).zip](http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c039921_ISO_IEC_10646_2003(E).zip)
- 549 The Unicode Consortium, *The Unicode Standard, Version 5.2.0, Annex #15: Unicode Normalization*  
550 *Forms*,  
551 <http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr15/>
- ### 552 Other documents
- 553 R. Fielding, *Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures*, PhD thesis,  
554 University of California, Irvine, 2000,  
555 <http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm>
- 556 R. Fielding, *REST APIs must be hypertext driven*, October 2008,  
557 <http://roy.gbiv.com/untangled/2008/rest-apis-must-be-hypertext-driven>
- 558 J. Holzer, *RESTful Web Services and JSON for WBEM Operations*, Master thesis, University of Applied  
559 Sciences, Konstanz, Germany, June 2009,  
560 <http://mond.htwg-konstanz.de/Abschlussarbeiten/Details.aspx?id=1120>
- 561 A. Manes, *Rest principle: Separation of representation and resource*, March 2009,  
562 <http://apsblog.burtongroup.com/2009/03/rest-principle-separation-of-representation-and-resource.html>
- 563 L. Richardson and S. Ruby, *RESTful Web Services*, May 2007, O'Reilly, ISBN 978-0-596-52926-0,  
564 <http://www.oreilly.de/catalog/9780596529260/>